

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
8-1-2014	08-CV-1730	(W.D. Pa.)	<p>Knights v. Publix Super Markets Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Publix violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act by procuring background checks on employees and job applicants without providing a "stand alone" disclosure informing them that a background check would be procured for employment purposes.</p> <p>Class Members are all who at any time from 3-12-2012 to 5-13-2014, put in an employment application to any Publix retail store, and the application included a liability release regarding consumer reports in electronic or written form, and Publix procured a background check for employment purposes.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Nichols Kaster, PLLP Attn: Joseph C. Hashmall 4600 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402</p>
8-1-2014	08-MD-2002	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation National Food Corporation ("NFC") (see CAFA Notice Dated 7-16-2014) The Court has scheduled the fairness hearing for these settlements.</p>	5-6-2015	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Steven A. Asher Weinstein Kitchenoff & Asher LLC 1845 Walnut Street Suite 1100 Philadelphia, PA 19103</p> <p>Michael D. Hausfeld Hausfeld LLP 1700 K Street NW Suite 650 Washington, DC 20006</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
8-1-2014	12-CV-4789	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Misty Murray and Shaun Murray v. Bill Me Later, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant and its agents violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. §227, by making automated or prerecorded telephone calls to call parties who did not give consent to receive such calls.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who, from 6-15-2008 through the date of preliminary approval, (a) received a call on their cellular phone made by or on behalf of Bill Me Later, Inc. using an automatic telephone dialing system and the called party did not give consent for Bill Me Later, Inc. to make such a call, or (b) received a call on their cellular phone or residential telephone line made by or on behalf of Bill Me Later, Inc. using an artificial or prerecorded voice to deliver a message and the called party did not give consent for Bill Me Later, Inc. to make such a call.</p>	11-20-2014	<p>For more information write or visit:</p> <p>Evan M. Meyers McGuire Law, P.C. 161 North Clark Street 47th Floor Chicago, IL 60601</p> <p>emeyers@mcgpc.com</p>
8-4-2014	09-CV-1967 09-CV-4128	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Keller, et al. v. Electronic Arts Inc., National Collegiate Athletic Association & Collegiate Licensing Company Bishop v. Electronic Arts, Inc., et al. See 6-6-2014 and 7-10-2014 CAFA Notices for In re: NCAA Student-Athlete Name & Likeness Licensing for more information. Supplemental CAFA Notice Letter sent on 7-10-2014, regarding the proposed class action settlement of all claims against National Collegiate Athletic</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to Attorney for Defendant:</p> <p>Gregory L. Curtner Schiff Hardin LLP 350 South Main Street Suite 210 Ann Arbor, MI 48104</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Association ("NCAA") in the above-referenced Keller and Bishop actions.</p> <p>There are class action cases pending against Electronic Arts Inc. ("EA"), Collegiate Licensing Company ("CLC"), and the NCAA. These cases deal with the alleged use of NCAA men's football and basketball players' names, images, and likenesses in EA's NCAA-Branded Videogames. These cases involve different claims and time periods. Generally speaking, though, the cases allege, among other things, that the NCAA, CLC, and EA violated the legal rights of student-athletes by using their names, images, and likenesses in EA's Videogames since 5-4-2003, both during and after the student-athletes' involvement in NCAA athletics.</p> <p>EA Videogame Settlement class includes: Any NCAA Division I football and men's basketball player who (1) was listed on a roster published or issued by a school whose team was included in an NCAA-Branded Videogame originally published or distributed from 7-21-2005 through [preliminary approval date], or (2) was listed on such a roster from 5-4-2003 and whose jersey number or photograph was used in such a videogame. NCAA Videogame Settlement: All NCAA Division I football and men's basketball players who were (1) listed on a roster published or issued by a school whose team was included in an NCAA-Branded Videogame originally published or distributed from 5-4-2003 through [preliminary approval date], and (2) whose assigned jersey number appears on a virtual player in the software, or whose</p>		

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			photograph was otherwise included in the software.		
8-4-2014	09-CV-1967 09-CV-04128	(N.D. Cal.)	Keller, et al v. Electronic Arts Inc. National Collegiate Athletic Association & Collegiate Licensing Company Bishop v. Electronic Arts, Inc., et al. This letter supplements the CAFA Notice Letter sent on 7-10-2014 by Counsels for Defendant Electronic Arts Inc. and Collegiate Licensing Company. For more information, see preceding CAFA Notice for 8-4-2014.	Not set yet	For more information write or call: R. James Slaughter Keker & Van Nest LLP 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111 415 391-5400 (Ph.)
8-4-12014	11-CV-3371	(D.S.C.)	The Church of Christ at Azalea Drive v. Forest River, Inc., et al. The lawsuit claims Starcraft Bus vehicles do not comply with federal regulations regarding weight rating, cargo capacity, and related labeling requirements, and that in manufacturing the vehicle, Starcraft Bus breached warranties relating to certification of compliance regarding the vehicle's gross vehicle weight rating and passenger/cargo capacity. Class Members are all who own a Starcraft Bus, made from 2002 through 9-30-2007 that is registered and operable.	1-13-2015	For more information write to: Roy H. Liddell Russell Latino II Wells Marble & Hurst, PLLC 200 Concourse Blvd. Suite 200 Ridgeland, MS 39157
8-5-2014	12-CV-02515	(N.D. Ill.)	Haught v. Motorola Mobility, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Motorola represented to consumers that it would upgrade the operating	11-20-2014	For more information write to: Rafey S. Balabanian

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>system of its CLIQ XT mobile device, but failed to do so.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased a Motorola CLIQ XT mobile phone prior to 2-2-2011.</p>		<p>Benjamin H. Richman Christopher L. Dore Edelson PC 350 N. LaSalle Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60654</p>
8-5-2014	12-CV-0225	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Aleta Lilly v. ConAgra Foods, Inc. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant understated the sodium in the Nutrition Facts Panel of certain of its David® Sunflower Seeds by not expressly disclosing the sodium on shells or by not disclosing that sodium as prominently as it did the sodium on the edible sunflower seed kernels, which violated the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq. ("UCL"), the California False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq. ("FAL"), and the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq. ("CLRA").</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the U.S. who bought, for personal use only, David® Sunflower Seeds from 1-10-2008 to the Effective Date.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Attorney for Defendant</p> <p>James F. Neale McGuire Woods LLP Court Square Building 310 Fourth Street N.E. Suite 300 P.O. Box 1288 Charlottesville, VA 22902</p> <p>434 977-2500 (Ph.)</p> <p>434 980-2222 (Fax)</p>
8-6-2014	13-CV-0369 13-CV-08008	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Careathers v. Red Bull North America, Inc. Wolf, et al. v. Red Bull GmbH, et al. (currently consolidated and pending in the U.S. District Court for S.D.N.Y.).</p> <p>Plaintiffs brought these lawsuits, alleging that Red Bull's marketing and labeling misrepresents both the functionality and safety of Red Bull beverages. Plaintiffs made claims against Red Bull for breach of express</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Morelli Alters Ratner, LLP Benedict P. Morelli David S. Ratner Adam Deutsch 777 Third Avenue</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>warranty, unjust enrichment, and violations of various states' consumer protection statutes.</p> <p>Class Members are all who made purchases of Red Bull Products between 1-1-2002 and the [Notice Date].</p>		<p>31st Floor New York, NY 10017</p>
8-7-2014	13-CV-06447	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Mankin, et al. v. Mountain West Research Center, L.C. ("MWRC") Plaintiffs allege that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227, by making telephone calls to individuals' mobile phones without their consent.</p> <p>Class Members are all those who were contacted by MWRC on their mobile phone in connection with the MWRC Survey Campaigns from 5-18 to 5-20-2013 or 7-22 to 7-24-2013.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>John Kristensen David Weisberg Kristensen Weisberg, LLP 12304 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 221 Los Angeles, CA 90025</p> <p>310 507-7924 (Ph.)</p>
8-7-2014	08-MD-02002	(E.D. Pa.)	<p>In re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust Litigation Plaintiffs allege that certain Producers of Shell Eggs and Egg Products conspired to decrease the supply of eggs. Plaintiffs allege that this supply conspiracy limited, fixed, raised, stabilized, or maintained the price of eggs, which caused direct purchasers to pay more for eggs than they would have otherwise paid. The term "eggs" refers to both Shell Eggs and Egg Products (which are eggs removed from their shells for further processing into a dried, frozen or liquid form), but do not include specialty Shell Eggs, such as cage-</p>	5-6-2015	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Steven A. Asher Weinstein Kitchenoff & Asher LLC 1845 Walnut Street Suite 1100 Philadelphia, PA 19103</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>free, organic, or nutritionally enhanced eggs, eggs used for growing, or Egg Products produced from such eggs.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities that purchased Shell Eggs and Egg Products in the U.S. directly from any Producer, including any Defendant, during the Class Period from 1-1-2000 through the date of preliminary approval.</p>		
8-8-2014	08-CV-6842	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>In re: Optiver US LLC, Optiver Holding B.V., Optiver VOF, Christopher Dowson, Bastiaan van Kempen, and Randal Meijer (collectively the "Defendants").</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that each Defendant, between 3-2-2007 and 3-26-2007, inclusive (the "Class Period"), caused and aided and abetted the causation of artificial prices in NYMEX Light Sweet Crude Oil, NYMEX New York Harbor Heating Oil and NYMEX New York Harbor Gasoline futures contracts (the "contracts") in violation of the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA"), the Sherman Act, NYMEX rules and the common law by amassing dominant NYMEX trading at settlement ("TAS") contract positions and offsetting such positions through NYMEX futures contracts transactions in the opposite direction of the TAS positions during the Closing Period.</p> <p>Class Members includes all persons who purchased, sold or held NYMEX New York Harbor Gasoline futures contracts at any time from 3-2-2007 through 3-26-2007, inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Ian T. Stoll Lovell Stewart Halebian Jacobson LLP 61 Broadway Suite 501 New York, NY 10006</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
8-8-2014	14-CV-1937	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Mills v. Capital One, N.A. This lawsuit is about whether Capital One improperly classified Assistant Branch Managers as exempt employees who are not entitled to receive overtime pay under state and federal wage and hour laws, and whether Capital One failed to pay Assistant Branch Managers overtime premium pay for the time they worked in excess of 40 hours a week.</p> <p>Class Members are all who worked for Capital One as an Assistant Branch Manager, in Maryland between 3-7-2011 and 7-7-2014 in New Jersey between 3-7-2012 and 7-7-2014, or in New York between 3-7-2008 and 7-7-2014.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Justin M. Swartz Michael N. Litrownik Outten & Golden LLP 3 Park Avenue 29th Floor New York, NY 10016</p> <p>212 245-1000 (Ph.)</p>
8-11-2014	13-CV-07072	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Windows Plus, Inc., et al. v. Door Control Services, Inc. This lawsuit alleges that Door Control violated certain consumer protection statutes. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that Door Control engaged in sending unsolicited mass junk faxes or fax blasts to unwilling recipients in an effort to market its products.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals or entities in the U.S. who received one or more facsimiles from or on behalf of Defendant Door Control Services, Inc. or who own the fax machines on which the facsimiles were received through the date of preliminary approval.</p>	1-5-2015	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Joseph J. Siprut Gregg M. Barbakoff Ismael T. Salam Siprut PC 17 North State Street Suite 1600 Chicago, ILL 60602</p> <p>312 236-0000 (Ph.)</p> <p>312 470-6588 (Fax.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
8-11-2014	13-CV-02337	(S.D. Cal.)	<p>Timothy Mansfield v. Southwest Airlines, Co. Plaintiff alleges failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements in violation of California Labor Code § 226(a) and failure to timely pay final wages upon separation from employment in violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 222. The same claims were also brought under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 (Cal. Lab Code § 2698, et seq. ("PAGA")).</p> <p>Two Classes are conditionally certified for settlement purposes only: 1) any and all persons who were employed by Southwest Airlines, Co. in California at any time from 8-8-2012, to the Date of Preliminary Approval of the Settlement ("Wage Settlement Class Members"); and 2) any and all persons who were employed by Southwest Airlines, Co. in California whose employment with Southwest Airlines, Co. ended at any time from 8-28-2010 to the date of Preliminary Approval of the Settlement ("Waiting Time Class Members").</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>Attorney for Defendant:</p> <p>Sabrina L. Shadi 11601 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025</p> <p>310 820-8800 (Ph.)</p> <p>310 820-8859 (Fax)</p> <p>www.sshadi@bakerlaw.com</p>
8-11-2014	13-CV-7183	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Arkansas Teacher Retirement System and Fresno County Employees' Retirement Association v. Bankrate, Inc., et al. Bankrate is a publisher of personal finance information to consumers on the Internet, including through Bankrate-owned websites. Bankrate generates revenues in part by selling "sales leads," that is, by connecting consumers to companies that offer financial products such</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP John Rizio-Hamilton 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>as insurance, credit cards and mortgages. In the Action, Lead Plaintiffs alleged that Defendants made false and misleading statements about the quality of Bankrate's insurance leads.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who or which purchased or otherwise acquired the common stock of Bankrate during the period of 6-16-2011 through 10-15-2012, inclusive.</p>		
8-11-2014	11-CV-62628	(S.D. Fla.)	<p>Ruth Ruzuco v. Re\$ubmitIt, LLC, BSG Financial, LLC and Bank Atlantic (the "Defendants")</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants' improper and unlawful taking of \$50 directly from the Plaintiff's bank account, without Plaintiff's authorization or consent, as a purported "fee" for re-submitting to Plaintiff's bank account a check that had been returned due to insufficient funds.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons from whom the Re\$ubmitIt Defendants collected an NSF Fee during the applicable statutes of limitations.</p> <p>Subclass Members are all persons from whom the Re\$ubmitIt Defendants collected an NSF Fee whose NSF checks were deposited in an account with Defendant Bank Atlantic during the applicable statutes of limitations.</p>	10-8-2014	<p>For more information write:</p> <p>John Ustal Kristin Bianculli Jordan M. Lewis Kelley Ustal Courthouse Law Plaza 700 Southeast 3rd Ave. 3rd Floor Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
8-11-2014	11-MD-02208	(D. Mass.)	<p>In re: The Prudential Insurance Company of America SGLI/VGLI Contract Litigation Plaintiffs allege that Prudential's settlement of benefits claims by use of an Alliance Account instead of a single check violates federal law governing SGLI, breaches the insurance contract and the contract's implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and constitutes unjust enrichment, fraud, and breach of fiduciary duty.</p> <p>The Class consists of all individuals; (a) who were beneficiaries of SGLI, Family SGLI, or VGLI insurance; (b) who made claims (or on whose behalf claims were made) for lump sum benefits prior to 11-2010; and (c) whose claims were settled by Prudential through the use of an Alliance Account.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or e-mail:</p> <p>Daniel D. King The Daniel King Law Firm, PLLC Frost Bank Tower 401 Congress Avenue Suite 1540 Austin, TX 78701</p> <p>512 687-6278 (Ph.)</p> <p>dan@danielkingtriallaw.com</p>
8-12-2014	13-CV-06843	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Erica Alvarado v. Aerotek, Inc. Plaintiff asserts a class-wide claim for unpaid earned vacation pay under the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act ("IWPCA") based on Aerotek's alleged failure to pay pro rata vacation pay at the end of each assignment. Plaintiff alleges that within the past 3 years Class Members are entitled to liquidated damages on any allegedly unpaid vacation pay pursuant to the Illinois Day and Temporary Labor Services Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all former employees of the Commercial Division of Aerotek, Inc. who worked</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Christopher J. Williams Alvar Ayala Workers' Law Office 401 South LaSalle Suite 1400 Chicago, IL 60605</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			for Defendant in Illinois and had assignments end or were terminated during the time period of 9-23-2003 to 12-28-2013, and who did not receive pro rata or other vacation pay at the end of the assignment or at termination.		
8-13-2014	14-CV-20497	(S.D. Fla.)	<p>Joan Carsten v. University of Miami The lawsuit claims that the University of Miami Miller School of Medicine provided to an off-site storage vendor certain protected health information of University of Miami patients, and that documents containing that information cannot be located ("the document storage incident"). The Plaintiff contends that University of Miami is responsible for any loss suffered by University of Miami Miller School of Medicine patients as a result of this document storage incident.</p> <p>The Settlement Class includes: those patients of the University of Miami Miller School of Medicine whose protected health information was contained within documents stored with a document storage vendor that could not be located in 2013.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>John A. Yanchunis Morgan & Morgan Complex Litigation Group 201 N. Franklin Street 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602</p>
8-14-2014	08-CV-00042	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Precision Associates, Inc., et al. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) LTD, et al. Lawsuit claims that freight forwarders throughout the world ("Defendants") conspired to fix prices for their services, including on routes between the U.S. and China, Hong Kong, Japan, Taiwan, and the U.K. Some of the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>1 877 276-7340 (Ph.) 1 503 520-4400 (Ph.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>companies who were sued have agreed to Settlements. These "Settling Defendants" are ABX Logistics Worldwide NV/SA; EGL, Inc. and EGL Eagle Global Logistics; Expeditors International of Washington, Inc; Kuehne + Nagel International AG and Kuehne + Nagel, Inc.; Morrison Express Logistics Pte. (Singapore) and Morrison Express Corporation (U.S.A.); Nishi-Nippon Railroad Co., Ltd.; Schenker, Inc. and its parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, including Deutsche Bahn AG, Schenker AG, and Bax Global, Inc.; United Aircargo Consolidators, Inc.; UTi Worldwide, Inc.; and Vantec Corporation and Vante World Transport (USA), Inc.</p> <p>Class Members are all who: 1) directly purchased Freight Forwarding Services; 2) from any of the Settling or Non-Settling defendants, their subsidiaries, or affiliates; 3) from 1-1-2001 through 9-14-2012; 4) in the U.S., or outside the U.S. for shipments within, to, or from the U.S. "Freight Forwarding Services" means freight forwarding, transportation, or logistics services for shipments, including services relating to the organization or transportation of items via air, ocean, rail, and road, both nationally and internationally, and related activities such as customs clearance, warehousing, and ground services.</p>		www.FrightForwardCase.com
8-14-2014	06-CV-00592	(W.D. Wash.)	<p>Christopher W. Hesse and Nathaniel Olson v. Sprint Spectrum L.P. This case was brought on behalf of a class of</p>	Not set yet	For more information write to :

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>individuals who subscribed to and received wireless services from Sprint Spectrum L.P. ("Sprint") and were billed a line-item surcharge associated with the State of Washington's business and occupations ("B&O") tax (the "B&O Surcharge"). The lawsuit contends, among other things, that Sprint's billing of the B&O surcharge violated the B&O tax statute, RCW 82.04, <u>et seq.</u>, and the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86, <u>et seq.</u></p> <p>Class Members are all current and former Sprint Account Holders, who from 3-1-2002, to 4-30-2012, were billed a B&O Surcharge by Sprint.</p>		<p>David E. Breskin Daniel F. Johnson Roger M. Townsend Breskin Johnson Townsend PLLC 1000 Second Avenue Suite 3670 Seattle, WA 98104</p> <p>Brad J. Moore Ray W. Kahler Stritmatter Kessler Whelan 200 Second Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119</p>
8-15-2014	07-CV-12388	(D. Mass.)	<p>Kirk Dahl, et al. v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC, et al.</p> <p>The proposed Settlement Agreement is intended to fully resolve and settle any and all claims of a class of plaintiffs, as represented by named plaintiffs Kirk Dahl, Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit, City of Omaha Police and Fire Retirement System, Michael Wojno, as executor for the estate of Robert Zimmerman against Bain Capital and its affiliates. [4 Notices received]</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the U.S. federal antitrust laws by restraining competition by participating in illegal conspiracies as set forth in Counts 1 and 2 of the complaint to limit competition among themselves and their co-conspirators with the goal of reducing the sale prices of the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>David R. Scott Christopher M. Burke Scott + Scott LLP 707 Broadway San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>619 233-4565</p> <p>Patrick J. Coughlin David W. Mitchell Robins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301</p> <p>619 231-1058</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>publicly-traded Target Companies that were sold pursuant to Leveraged Buyouts ("LBOs"). Named Plaintiffs allege that Defendants' anticompetitive conduct caused the shareholders of each Target Company to receive an unlawfully depressed price per share, resulting in significant economic damages to the putative Settlement Class.</p> <p>Class Members are all who sold or exchanged common stock of (1) AMC Entertainment Inc., (2) SunGard Data Systems Inc., (3) Aramark Corporation, (4) Kinder Morgan, Inc., (5) HCA Inc., (6) Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., (7) Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., or (8) TXU Corp., as part of the LBO of each of the preceding Target Companies.</p>		
8-15-2014	13-CV-02823	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Payam Ahdoot and Brandon Clark v. Babolat VS North America, Inc., and DOES 1 through 10</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Babolat made false claims in its advertising on the internet, in magazines and other publications, and on television. These claims were that tennis racquets available for sale to the public in the U.S. were the same as those used by tennis professionals who endorse Babolat racquets, when the professionals' racquets are allegedly different from the retail versions available in the U.S. The Action also claims that Babolat falsely advertised and labeled its racquets with GT Technology as containing tungsten when the racquets allegedly did not contain tungsten.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Christopher J. Hamner Amy T. Wootton Hamner Law Offices, APC 555 West 5th Street Los Angeles, CA 90013</p> <p>213 533-4160 (Ph.)</p> <p>Christopher A. Olsen Olsen Law Offices 1010 2nd Avenue #1835 San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>619 550-9352 (Ph.)</p> <p>1 888 538-5790 (Ph.)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Class Members are all persons who purchased for personal use (not for resale) any of the included Babolat tennis racquets from 1-1-2009 through 11-11-2014.</p>		
8-15-2014	13-CV-6262	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Gary L. Smith, Jr. v. Harbor Freight Tools USA, Inc. Plaintiff alleged the authorization form Harbor Freight Tools used to obtain background checks from A-Check America, Inc. included a "release" of claims, and therefore was not a disclosure in a stand-alone document as required by the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") and similar state laws. The Plaintiff also alleged that Harbor Freight Tools did not give him proper notice under the FCRA and similar state laws before taking an adverse employment action against him based in whole, or in part, on the background check provided by A-Check America, Inc.</p> <p>Class Members are all of Defendant's employees, or prospective employees, in the U.S. who were the subject of a consumer report obtained by Defendant from A-Check America between 8-27-2011 and the date of preliminary approval by the Court.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Michael A. Caddell Cynthia B. Chapman Craig C. Marchiando Caddell & Chapman 1331 Lamar Suite 1070 Houston TX 77010</p> <p>713 751-0400 (Ph.) 713 751-0906 (Fax)</p>
8-15-2014	12-CV-8187	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Quinn, et al. v. Walgreen Co., et al. Plaintiffs claim retailers including Walgreen's and Wal-Mart sold Perrigo-manufactured products containing glucosamine and/or chondroitin (the</p>	12-12-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Todd S. Garber Finkelstein, Blankinship,</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>"Covered Products") using labels that misleadingly stated that the products would help "rebuild cartilage," "lubricate joints," and "improve joint comfort," when they do not.</p> <p>Class Members are all U.S. residents who purchased for personal use, and not for resale or distribution, a Covered Product between 11-1-2005 and [ADD PRELIMINARY APPROVAL DATE].</p>		<p>Frei-Pearson & Garber LLP 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue White Plains, NY 10605</p>
8-18-2014	09-CV-10750 10-CV-10184	(D. Mass.)	<p>Kenney v. State Street Corporation Richard v. State Street Corporation</p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants were fiduciaries of the Plan and violated fiduciary duties of loyalty, care, and prudence under ERISA that they owed the participants in the Plan regarding the Plan's investment of assets in the stock of State Street. In the complaints, Plaintiffs asserted causes of action for the losses they allege were suffered by the Plan as the result of the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by the Defendants.</p> <p>Class Members include any Person who was a participant in or beneficiary of the State Street Salary Savings Program (the "Plan") at any time between 8-27-2007 and 10-21-2009 (the "Class Period") and whose account included investments in the Employee Stock Ownership Plan.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Thomas G. Shapiro Shapiro Haber & Urmy LLP Seaport East Two Seaport Lane Boston, MA 02210</p> <p>Mark Levine Stull, Stull & Brody 6 East 45th Street New York, NY 10017</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
8-18-2014	13-CV-2546	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Construction Laborers Pension Trust of Greater St. Louis v. Autoliv, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Autoliv was engaged in an illegal antitrust conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in the automotive safety industry. Plaintiffs further allege that Defendants failed to disclose this anti-competitive scheme and instead represented, among other things, the Company complied with antitrust and fair competition laws. The Complaint asserts that these allegedly false and misleading statements and omissions artificially inflated the price of Autoliv common stock.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased Autoliv common stock during the period from 10-26-2010, through and including 7-21-2011.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Ellen Gusikoff Stewart Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>Nicole M. Zeiss Labaton Sucharow LLP 140 Broadway 34th Floor New York, NY 10005</p>
8-18-2014	05-CV-06920	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p>Lovely H., Gloria Q., Michele N. v. Verna Eggleston, Administrator/Commissioner of the New York City Human Resources Administration Plaintiffs allege that New York City Human Resources Administration (HRA) moved people with disabilities and the people they lived with. The Court made a preliminary ruling - but not a final order - that the transfers violated the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) because they did not give people a choice.</p> <p>Class Members are all recipients of public assistance, food stamps and/or Medicaid who</p>	10-31-2014	<p>For more information write, call or email</p> <p>Zachary W. Carter Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendant 100 Church Street Room 2-165 New York, NY 10007</p> <p>212 356-0877 (Ph.) arosinus@law.nyc.gov</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			have received or will receive a notice from the New York City Human Resources Administration involuntarily transferring their case to one of the three hub centers in Manhattan, the Bronx or Brooklyn in connection with the We Care Program.		
8-18-2014	09-CV-12146	(D. Mass)	<p>Hill v. State Street Corporation, Ronald E. Logue, Edward J. Resch, Pamela D. Gormley, Kenneth F. Burnes, Peter Coym, Nader F. Darehshori, Amelia C. Fawcett, David P. Gruber, Linda A. Hill, Charles R. LaMantia, Maureen J. Miskovic, Richard P. Sergel, Ronald L. Skates, Gregory L. Summe, Robert E Weissman, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC (formerly known as Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated), Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, UBS Securities LLC, and Ernst & Young LLP (the "Defendants")</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the above Defendants made, or controlled others who made, materially false and misleading statements and failed to disclose material facts about (i) State Street's foreign exchange business, (ii) the quality of State Street's internal controls, and (iii) the quality of assets held in State Street's investment portfolio and in off-balance-sheet entities known as conduits. The Complaint alleges that these false and misleading statements and material omissions caused the price of State Street Common stock to be artificially inflated. The Complaint also asserts claims against all Defendants under Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933</p>	10-27-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP John C. Browne 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>(the "Securities Act"); against State Street and the Underwriter Defendants under Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act; and against certain of the Individual Defendants under Section 15 of the Securities Act, alleging that the defendants named in the Securities Act claims were statutorily liable for the allegedly materially untrue statements and misleading omissions in the registration statement and offering documents for a public offering of State Street common stock that occurred in 6-2008.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who or which purchased or otherwise acquired publicly traded common stock of State Street during the period from 10-17-2006 through 10-21-2009, inclusive (the "Settlement Class Period"), including all persons and entities who or which purchased or otherwise acquired State Street common stock pursuant and/or traceable to a registered public offering conducted on or about 6-3-2008, and who were damaged thereby.</p>		
8-18-2014	12-CV-02402	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p>Graff v. United Collection Bureau, Inc. ("UCB") Plaintiff alleges that UCB violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") by leaving telephonic voice messages for consumers that failed to identify UCB by its full company name or state that the call was for collection purposes, made in connection with UCB's attempt to collect a debt. The lawsuit alleges that UCB's telephonic voice messages violated the</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>Andrew T. Thomasson Thomasson Law, LLC 101 Hudson Street 21st Floor Jersey City, NJ 07302</p> <p>855 479-9969 (Fax)</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>FDCPA.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons with addresses in the U.S., for whom UCB left a telephonic voice message at a number; that did not identify UCB by its full company name or state that the call was for collection purposes, made in connection with UCB's attempt to collect a debt, during the period of 2-19-2013, through the date of preliminary class approval; and all persons with addresses in the State of New York, for whom UCB left a telephonic voice message at a number, that did not identify UCB by its full company name or state that the call was for collection purposes, made in connection with UCB's attempt to collect a debt, during the period 5-15-2011, through the date of preliminary class approval.</p>		<p>Andrew@thomassonllc.com</p>
8-21-2014	14-CV-00670	(C.D. Cal.)	<p>Aguiar v. Merisant Company and Whole Earth Sweetener Company, LLC</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that the Pure Via All Natural Zero Calorie Sweeteners products that were purchased were not "natural" because they contained ingredients that were "highly processed" and that the labels describing the products and their ingredients were inaccurate or misleading. Plaintiff further alleges Merisant violated consumer protection laws of California and states with similar consumer protection laws as well as the breach-of-warranty laws of California and various states.</p> <p>Class Members include all persons who from 1-1-</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Joseph P. Guglielmo Amanda F. Lawrence Scott+Scott Attorney at Law, LLP The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue 40th Floor New York, NY 10174</p> <p>E. Kirk Wood Wood Law Firm, LLC P.O. Box 382434</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			2008 through [the date of Preliminary Approval] (the "Class Period"), resided in the U.S. and purchased in the U.S. any of the Pure Via sweetener in packet, spoonable jar, liquid, or baking blend forms, of any size or quantity, for their household use or personal consumption and not for resale.		Birmingham, AL 35238
8-21-2014	06-CV-6149	(N.D. Ill.)	Driver, et al. v. W. Curtis Smith, et al. Supplement to 7-29-2014 CAFA notice. Revised spreadsheet providing: (i) the names of class members who reside in each State; and (ii) an estimated proportionate share of the claims of such members to the entire settlement.	10-30-2014	For more information visit: http://appleillinoisclassaction.com/
8-22-2014	11-CV-4326	(S.D.N.Y.)	Philips Amador, et al. v. Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, et al. Plaintiffs allege that Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC f/f/a Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated; Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC; and Morgan Stanley failed to properly compensate Client Services Associates ("CSAs") for all the overtime hours they worked in excess of forty per workweek. Class Members are all who worked as a Client Service Associate ("CSA") employed by Morgan Stanley who previously filed a Consent to Join form in the Amador v. Morgan Stanley case.	12-19-2014	For more information write, call or fax: Klafter, Olsen & Lesser, LLP Two International Dr. Suite 350 Rye Brook, NY 10573 914 934-9200 (Ph.) 914 934-9220 (Fax)
8-22-2014	10-CV-02500	(N.D. Cal.)	Zepeda, et al. v. PayPal, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that PayPal improperly handled disputed transactions on PayPal	Not set yet	For more information visit:

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>accounts and improperly placed holds, reserves or limitations on accounts or closed or suspended accounts. Plaintiffs also allege that PayPal failed to provide them with annual error-resolution notices and monthly account statements allegedly required under the Electronic Fund Transfer Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all current or former users of PayPal in the U.S. who: (1) had an active PayPal account between 4-19-2006 and [the date of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order]; and (2) had a hold or reserve placed on the account and/or the account was closed or suspended by PayPal.</p>		www.paypal.com/contact-us
8-22-2014	12-CV-02356	(N.D. Ga.)	<p>Fowler, et al. v. SCANA Energy Marketing, Inc. Plaintiffs allege that SCANA violated the Georgia Natural Gas Competition and Deregulation Act and the Georgia Fair Business Practices Act by overcharging its customers in certain rate plans for natural gas services. On 2-26-2013, Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint to add a class representative, without amending the claims for relief or theories of recovery.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals or entities who were, during the period from 3-1-2007 to the present, Georgia residents, SCANA customers, and enrolled in SCANA's (a) Standard Variable rate plan, (b) Choice Variable rate plan, or (c) Two-Part Variable rate plan, as those plans were identified in SCANA's Monthly</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Jason R. Doss The Doss Firm, LLC 36 Trammell Street Suite 101 Marietta, GA 30064</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Marketer Pricing Forms filed with the Georgia Public Service Commission during the relevant time period.		
8-22-2014	11-CV-5196	(D.N.J.)	<p>Ricky Dudley v. Christian W.E. Haub, Eric Claus, Brenda M. Galgano, Ronald Marshall, Smauel Martin, The Yucaipa Companies LLC, Ronald Burkle and Frederic Brace (see CAFA Notice Dated 5-23-2014)</p> <p>The Court has scheduled the fairness hearing for these settlements.</p>	12-18-2014	<p>For more information write or call:</p> <p>Rick Nelson c/o Shareholder Relations Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>1 800 449-4900 (Ph.)</p>
8-22-2014	12-CV-03088	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>In re: LinkedIn User Privacy Litigation</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that LinkedIn, which is a professional networking service (website at www.linkedin.com), did not use industry-standard security, which Plaintiff alleges was promised in LinkedIn's User Agreement and Privacy Policy, to keep the passwords and personal information of users of its premium services secure.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the U.S. who paid a fee to LinkedIn for a premium subscription at any time between 3-15-2006 and 6-7-2012. "Persons" include an "individual, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, limited liability company, association, joint stock company, estate, legal representative, trust,</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call:</p> <p>Edelson PC Jay Edelson Rafey S. Balabanian Ari J. Scharg J. Dominick Larry</p> <p>866 354-3015</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			unincorporated association, and any business or legal entity and their spouses, heirs, predecessors, successors, representatives, or assigns."		
8-26-2014	09-CV-190	(D. Vt.)	<p>Christine Bauer-Ramazani and Carolyn B. Duffy v. Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America - College Retirement and Equities Fund (TIAA-CREF), College Retirement and Equities Fund (CREF), Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America (TIAA), TIAA-CREF Investment Management, LLC (TCIM), Teachers Advisors, Inc. (TAI, and TIAA-CREF Individual and Institutional Services, LLC)</p> <p>Supplemental Notice of Filing of Proposed Settlement of Class Action Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1715 (see CAFA Notice 2-7-2014). This class action lawsuit was filed on 8-17-2009, and is being brought on behalf of individuals who, between 8-17-2003 and 5-9-2013, requested a transfer or withdrawal of funds invested in a CREF or TIAA variable annuity account covered by ERISA whose funds were not transferred or distributed within seven days of the date the account was valued (the "Effective Date") and who were not paid the investment gains, if any, during the delay period.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons, including all 'persons' as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 1002(9), who at any time during the Class Period requested a transfer or distribution of funds held in a CREF or TIAA variable annuity account</p>	9-3-2014	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Gravel & Shea, P.C. 76 St Paul Street 7th Floor Burlington, VT 05401</p> <p>Kozyak, Tropin & Throckmorton, P.A. 2525 Ponce de Leon Blvd. 9th Floor Coral Gables, FL 33134</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			covered by ERISA whose funds were not transferred or distributed within seven days of the date the account was valued and were denied the investment gains.		
8-27-2014	12-CV-04936	(N.D. Cal.)	<p>Miller, et al. v. Ghirardelli Chocolate Company Plaintiffs allege that based on the packaging or advertising, consumers could potentially be confused or misled into thinking that one of its products - labeled either "Premium Baking Chips - Classic White" or "Premium Baking Chips - Classic White Chips" (the "White Chips") - was white chocolate chips. Like white chips manufactured by Nestle, Guittard, and Hershey, the White Chips are not white chocolate because they do not contain cocoa butter. Plaintiffs also alleged that Defendant falsely and deceptively labeled or advertised other products as "all natural," when they allegedly contained ingredients that Plaintiffs claim cannot be called "all natural."</p> <p>Class Members are all who between 8-17-2008 and [date of preliminary approval] purchased in the U.S., except for purposes of resale, either Ghirardelli "Classic White" chips ("White Chips") or other Ghirardelli product labeled "All Natural."</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call, fax or e-mail:</p> <p>Adam Gutride Seth Safier Gutride Safier LLP 835 Douglass Street San Francisco, CA 94114</p> <p>415 449-9090 (Ph.) 415 449-6469 (Fax)</p> <p>adam@gutridesafier.com seth@gutridesafier.com</p>
8-28-2014	11-CV-05504	(D.N.J.)	<p>Chaundhri, et al. v. Osram Sylvania, Inc., et al. Plaintiffs allege that Sylvania misrepresented that certain replacement automotive lights are</p>	3-20-2015	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>John E. Keefe, Jr. Keefe Bartels</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>brighter, provide a wider beam and allow drivers to see farther down the road than standard halogen lights. It also claims that Sylvania omitted material information regarding the reduced life of these replacement lights.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons or entities in the U.S. and its territories that purchased one or more Covered Products in any U.S. state, territory, or possession at any time during the Class Period, other than for resale or distribution to another person or entity, and who do not timely seek exclusion. Covered Products include (i) SilverStar® ULTRA, SilverStar®, Xtra Vision®, or Cool Blue® replacement headlight capsules; (ii) SilverStar®, Xtra Vision®, or Cool Blue® sealed beam headlights; or (iii) SilverStar® fog or auxiliary lights.</p>		<p>170 Monmouth Street Red Bank, NJ 07701</p> <p>Barry R. Eichen Eichen Crutchlow Zaslow & McElroy, LLP 40 Ethel Road Edison, NJ 08817</p>
8-29-2014	12-CV-00954	(M.D. Fla.)	<p>Mogensen v. Body Central Corp., et al. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants engaged in a fraudulent scheme to artificially inflate the price of Body Central common stock by concealing and subsequently minimizing significant deteriorating merchandise conditions that negatively impacted sales and Body Central's financial outlook. The Complaint asserts that these allegedly false and misleading statements and omissions artificially inflated the price of Body Central common stock.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or</p>		<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Ellen Gusikoff Stewart Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			acquired Body Central common stock during the period from 11-10-2011, through and including 6-18-2012.		
8-29-2014	14-CV-949	(N.D. Ill.)	<p>Illinois Nut & Candy Home of Fantasia Confections, LLC v. GrubHub, Inc. and GrubHub Seamless, Inc.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"). Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that GrubHub sent faxes to unwilling recipients in an effort to market its services or that those faxes did not have the opt-out language required by the statute.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals or entities in the U.S. who, on or before [preliminary approval date], received from or on behalf of GrubHub, Inc. n/k/a GrubHub Holding, Inc., GrubHub Seamless, Inc. n/k/a GrubHub, Inc. or any of their predecessors or affiliated entities or individuals one or more (i) unsolicited facsimile advertisements or (ii) facsimile advertisements that did not contain the opt-out language required by the TCPA.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call or fax:</p> <p>Joseph J. Siprut Siprut PC 17 North State Street Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60602</p> <p>312 236-0000 (Ph.)</p> <p>312 878-1342 (Fax.)</p>
8-29-2014	12-CV-11110	(D. Mass.)	<p>Pepe v. Genzyme Corp., et al.</p> <p>Plaintiff alleges multiple legal claims against Defendants, including (1) breach of contract; (2) common law bailment; and (3) unlawful, unfair or deceptive conduct in violation of Massachusetts General Laws ("MGL") c. 93A §§ 2 and 9, for their alleged failure to safely</p>	1-7-2015	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Patrick J. Sheehan Whatley Kallas, LLP 60 State Street 7th Floor</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices
in August 2014, to the
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>store DNA samples provided to them as part of their DNA Banking business. The complaint in the lawsuit is posted on the website, www.DNABankingRefund.com, and contains all of the allegations and claims asserted against Defendants.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the U.S. who, from 4-17-1986 to the present, purchased DNA Banking services from Genzyme or Genzyme Affiliates.</p>		<p>Boston, MA 02109</p>